

Reply to Office Action

Appl. No.: 09/751,121 Art Unit: 3624

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re: Filing of my response to the United States Patent and Trademark Office
Action of August 24, 2006 asserting election / restrictions as required
under 35 U.S.C. 121 as being proper for my application due to my
supposed presentation of two distinct and separate inventions in
combination and subcombination.

Sir:

This reply is In response only to the Office Action by the United States
Patent and Trademark Office mailed on August 24, 2006 relative to the election /
restriction requirements of 35 U.S.C. 121 due to my application supposedly
presenting two distinct patents in combination and subcombination. My previous
submittals and replies to prior United States Patent and Trademark Office
Actions have addressed at length and in substantial detail the invention itself and
subsequent claims along with all of the prior art assertions by the United States
Patent and Trademark Office.

REMARKS

The United States Patent and Trademark Office asserts in the Office Action of
August 24, 2006 under Election / Restrictions Paragraph 2. (I.) that Claims 32 –
36 and 63 – 66, drawn to a method and system for freight management and
arranging for shipment of a load from a shipper by a carrier, classified in class
705, subclass 7. and that under Paragraph 2. (II.) that Claims 37 – 62 and 67 –
68, drawn to a method of buying and selling a product with the product being a
good or service, classified in class 705, subclass 37.